

And Whereas ^{James H. Person} James H. Person who claimed the property
 seized upon and the said Sampson & Reed and the said Pettit
 appeared by their attorneys fully heard. It is considered by the
 Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum
 of twenty seven dollars eighty six cents with interest from the
 18th day of January 1845 till paid and his costs by him about
 his suit in this behalf expended. And it is ordered that the
 officer who seized the said attachment after selling and disposing
 of the goods and chattels attached as aforesaid and satisfying
 the judgment this day rendered on the attachment in favor
 of H. & S. P. P. & O. Barkham, Morgan & Walter that he apply
 the balance in satisfaction of the judgment and the balance if
 any apply to the judgment rendered upon attachment this day first
 due in favor of James H. Person secondly and in favor of Peter Edmunds
 and thirdly one in favor of Levi Walter. And if any surplus
 should then remain that he return it to the defendants. And the
 said Officer is required to return to the Clerk's Office within
 thirty days after such sale is made completed an account of the
 sale specifying therein the several articles sold the persons to whom
 sold and the prices thereof.

Memorandum To the Judgment given for the plaintiff on the said
 motion the said James H. Person as aforesaid accepted and
 tendered his bill of exceptions which bill was overruled signed and
 directed by the court & ordered to be made a part of the record
 upon the said motion.

James H. Person having made complaint before a justice of the
 peace of this county that Drury Pettit his driver was remaining out of
 this county probably absconded or concealed himself so that the ordinary
 process of Law could not be served upon him. And the said Justice
 having granted an attachment against the estate of the said Drury
 Pettit returnable to this county which has been seized on sundry goods
 and chattels the said James H. Person this day appeared by his
 attorney And the said Drury Pettit being solemnly
 called but came not. And Whereas James H. Person who
 claimed the property seized upon and the said James H. Person and the
 said Pettit appeared by their attorneys fully heard. It is considered by
 the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendants the sum of
 twenty six dollars and fifty six cents with interest from the 18th day of
 November 1845 and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf
 expended. And it is ordered that the officer who seized the said
 attachment after selling and disposing of the goods and chattels attached